Composition of Dynamic Control Objectives Based on Differential Games

#### Joshua Shay Kricheli, Aviran Sadon, Shai Arogeti, Shimon Regev, and Gera Weiss

Ben Gurion University of the Negev MED 29th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation

> June 22-25 2021 Bari, Puglia, Italy



We present a controller design that uses game theory as a mechanism for composing controllers

- We present a controller design that uses game theory as a mechanism for composing controllers
- This design allows to easily handle multiple, possibly conflicting, dynamically changing objectives

- We present a controller design that uses game theory as a mechanism for composing controllers
- This design allows to easily handle multiple, possibly conflicting, dynamically changing objectives
- The simplicity is in the independent specification of each objective from which the final overall controller is formed

We propose to apply the following steps repeatedly:

1. Assign a 'virtual input' to each objective

We propose to apply the following steps repeatedly:

- 1. Assign a 'virtual input' to each objective
- 2. Define a differential game where each player controls an input and tries to achieve the corresponding objective

We propose to apply the following steps repeatedly:

- 1. Assign a 'virtual input' to each objective
- 2. Define a differential game where each player controls an input and tries to achieve the corresponding objective
- 3. Compute a Nash equilibrium and use it to construct a controller that balances between the objectives

We propose a method to transform a linear time-invariant (LTI) system of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t)$$

► To an equivalent system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mathbf{v}_i(t)$$

ът

We propose a method to transform a linear time-invariant (LTI) system of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t) \qquad \text{of the input}$$
  
• To an equivalent system:  

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mathbf{v}_i(t)$$

We propose a method to transform a linear time-invariant (LTI) system of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t) \qquad \text{of the input}$$
  
• To an equivalent system:  

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mathbf{v}_i(t)$$

- Each v<sub>i</sub> is called a virtual input designed s.t. each input affects mostly one of the objectives
- For nonlinear systems, we use on-the-fly linearization around each state using linear parameter varying (LPV) models

► For a system of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mathbf{v}_i(t)$$

► For a system of the form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mathbf{v}_i(t)$$

Each virtual input is associated with objective defined as an independent cost function of the form:

$$J_i = \int_0^\infty \left[ \mathbf{x}(t)^T Q_i \mathbf{x}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{v}_j(t)^T R_{ij} \mathbf{v}_j(t) \right] dt$$

where each  $Q_i$  specifies the cost of deviations from the zero state and  $R_{ij}$  specifies control costs

#### Movie

# Nash Equilibrium

- ► We consider the feedback control policies (v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, v<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>N</sub><sup>\*</sup>) where v<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup> maps the state to the *i*'th virtual input
- Such a tuple constitutes a Nash Equilibrium iff for all 1 ≤ i ≤ N and any policy v<sub>i</sub> we have:

 $J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i^*,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_N^*) \leq J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_N^*)$ 

# Nash Equilibrium

- We consider the feedback control policies (v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, v<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, ..., v<sub>N</sub><sup>\*</sup>) where v<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup> maps the state to the *i*'th virtual input
- Such a tuple constitutes a Nash Equilibrium iff for all 1 ≤ i ≤ N and any policy v<sub>i</sub> we have:

$$J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{v}_i^*}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N^*) \leq J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{v}_i}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N^*)$$
replacing  $\mathbf{v}_i^*$  by  $\mathbf{v}_i$  does not improve  $J_i$ 

# Nash Equilibrium

- ► We consider the feedback control policies (v<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, v<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>,..., v<sub>N</sub><sup>\*</sup>) where v<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup> maps the state to the *i*'th virtual input
- Such a tuple constitutes a Nash Equilibrium iff for all 1 ≤ i ≤ N and any policy v<sub>i</sub> we have:

$$J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i^*),\ldots,\mathbf{v}_N^*) \leq J_i(\mathbf{v}_1^*,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i),\ldots,\mathbf{v}_N^*)$$

replacing  $\mathbf{v}^*_i$  by  $\overline{\mathbf{v}_i}$  does not improve  $J_i$ 

- We propose to construct the actual input using the virtual inputs satisfying the Nash equilibrium
- This generates a control strategy that forms a dynamic balance between the objectives

## Calculating the Nash Equilibrium

 For the Nash Equilibrium, we consider linear feedback controllers of the form:<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{v}_i^*(\mathbf{x}(t)) = -K_i \mathbf{x}(t) = -R_{ii}^{-1} B_i^T P_i \mathbf{x}(t)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Vassilis L. Syrmos Frank L. Lewis Draguna L. Vrabie. "Optimal Control". In: chap. 10, pp. 438–460.

## Calculating the Nash Equilibrium

 For the Nash Equilibrium, we consider linear feedback controllers of the form:<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{v}_i^*(\mathbf{x}(t)) = -K_i \mathbf{x}(t) = -R_{ii}^{-1} B_i^T P_i \mathbf{x}(t)$$

• where  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a solution of the Ricatti equations:

$$0 = P_i A_c + A_c^T P_i + Q_i + \sum_{j=1}^N P_j B_j R_{jj}^{-T} R_{ij} R_{jj}^{-1} B_j^T P_j$$



$$A_c = A - \sum_{i=1}^N B_i R_{ii}^{-1} B_i^T P_i$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Frank L. Lewis, "Optimal Control".

► For demonstration, we implemented a quadrotor controller

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Amir Shapiro Hanoch Efraim Shai Arogeti and Gera Weiss. "Vision Based Output Feedback Control of Micro Aerial Vehicles in Indoor Environments". In: (2017).

- For demonstration, we implemented a quadrotor controller
- A quadrotor is a well studied non-linear system with six degrees of freedom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hanoch Efraim and Weiss, "Vision Based Output Feedback Control of Micro Aerial Vehicles in Indoor Environments".

- For demonstration, we implemented a quadrotor controller
- A quadrotor is a well studied non-linear system with six degrees of freedom
- We use a simulation of a quadrotor in an indoor environment based on a work previously done by our team<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hanoch Efraim and Weiss, "Vision Based Output Feedback Control of Micro Aerial Vehicles in Indoor Environments".

- For demonstration, we implemented a quadrotor controller
- A quadrotor is a well studied non-linear system with six degrees of freedom
- We use a simulation of a quadrotor in an indoor environment based on a work previously done by our team<sup>2</sup>
- We implement two levels of control:
  - 1. Orientation control based on inertial sensors
  - 2. Positional control based on coordinates of the lines of a corridor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hanoch Efraim and Weiss, "Vision Based Output Feedback Control of Micro Aerial Vehicles in Indoor Environments".

- For demonstration, we implemented a quadrotor controller
- A quadrotor is a well studied non-linear system with six degrees of freedom
- We use a simulation of a quadrotor in an indoor environment based on a work previously done by our team<sup>2</sup>
- We implement two levels of control:
  - 1. Orientation control based on inertial sensors
  - 2. Positional control based on coordinates of the lines of a corridor
- We simulate the corresponding image that the quadrotor is presumably witnessing according to the given current state

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hanoch Efraim and Weiss, "Vision Based Output Feedback Control of Micro Aerial Vehicles in Indoor Environments".

1. The first objective is stabilizing the quadrotor at the center of the corridor, facing forward

- 1. The first objective is stabilizing the quadrotor at the center of the corridor, facing forward
- 2. The second one is a dynamic objective on the forward velocity, where the objective changes with the distance of the quadrotor to the wall

- 1. The first objective is stabilizing the quadrotor at the center of the corridor, facing forward
- 2. The second one is a dynamic objective on the forward velocity, where the objective changes with the distance of the quadrotor to the wall
  - If the quadrotor is closer to the center than the wall, then the objective is to reach a (positive) constant velocity

- 1. The first objective is stabilizing the quadrotor at the center of the corridor, facing forward
- 2. The second one is a dynamic objective on the forward velocity, where the objective changes with the distance of the quadrotor to the wall
  - If the quadrotor is closer to the center than the wall, then the objective is to reach a (positive) constant velocity
  - Otherwise, the objective is to decrease the velocity to zero

There might be a conflict between these two objectives, thus finding a balance between them is beneficial

• Let us consider the state vector of the quadrotor:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \ \dot{\phi} \ \theta \ \dot{\theta} \ \psi \ \dot{\psi} \ z \ \dot{z} \ x \ \dot{x} \ y \ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- The quadrotor is controlled by applying desired torques using its motors
- The torques are generated by controlling the angular velocity of each motor
- Let us define the following input vector for the thrust and three torques along the axes:

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} T \ \tau_x \ \tau_y \ \tau_z \end{bmatrix}^T$$

#### Quadrotor Model

We consider the following rigid-body non-linear model<sup>3</sup> (assuming small angles, symmetry and diagonal inertia matrix):

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \frac{I_{yy} - I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} \dot{\theta} \dot{\psi} + \frac{J_r}{I_{xx}} \dot{\theta} \Omega_r + \frac{1}{I_{xx}} \tau_x \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \frac{I_{zz} - I_{xx}}{I_{yy}} \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} - \frac{J_r}{I_{yy}} \dot{\phi} \Omega_r + \frac{1}{I_{yy}} \tau_y \\ \dot{\psi} \\ \frac{I_{xx} - I_{yy}}{I_{zz}} \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{I_{zz}} \tau_z \\ \dot{z} \\ g - \frac{1}{m} \cos\phi \cos\theta T \\ \dot{x} \\ \frac{1}{m} \left(\cos\phi \sin\theta \cos\psi + \sin\phi \sin\psi\right) T \\ \frac{j}{m} \left(\cos\phi \sin\theta \sin\psi - \sin\phi \cos\psi\right) T \end{bmatrix}$$

 $^3 Samir$  Bouabdallah. "Design and Control of Quadrotors with Application to Autonomous Flying". In: (2007).

We show the performance of the suggested methodology in the context of the quadrotor hierarchical control strategy

- We show the performance of the suggested methodology in the context of the quadrotor hierarchical control strategy
- The method uses an image-based visual servoing to control micro aerial vehicles (MAVs) in indoor environments

- We show the performance of the suggested methodology in the context of the quadrotor hierarchical control strategy
- The method uses an image-based visual servoing to control micro aerial vehicles (MAVs) in indoor environments
- We assume the state of the system is obtained by a linearization of the model proposed earlier

- We show the performance of the suggested methodology in the context of the quadrotor hierarchical control strategy
- The method uses an image-based visual servoing to control micro aerial vehicles (MAVs) in indoor environments
- We assume the state of the system is obtained by a linearization of the model proposed earlier
- Then we simulate the corresponding image that the quadrotor is presumably witnessing according to that state

#### The following is a high-level representation of the simulated system:



Current Actual Angular Velocities

2. This state is fed into the image simulator that produces the current corresponding approximated positions and angles

- 2. This state is fed into the image simulator that produces the current corresponding approximated positions and angles
- 3. Then the high-level controller produces the desired thrust and angular rates

- 2. This state is fed into the image simulator that produces the current corresponding approximated positions and angles
- 3. Then the high-level controller produces the desired thrust and angular rates
- 4. Finally, the low-level controller produces the actual thrust and torques,  $\mathbf{u}$ , to be applied to the quadrotor

## Results I - Objectives Dynamics

The following figure displays the simulation results. Once the wall measure reaches 0.5 - the velocity starts to increase from 0 to 3:



#### Results II - Angular State Variables

The following figure displays the angles and angular velocities



- We saw our method provides an easy way of finding a plausible balance in between possibly conflicting objectives
- Our simulation provides a quick stabilization of the state variables, even after changes in the interaction
- Handling non-linear systems with multiple objectives can be made quite easy by this method

# Python Package

- We designed a Python package that encapsulates the method described
- The package provides a quick way to define objectives and generates the corresponding dynamic differential games
- Then the algorithm solves these games on-the-fly and generates the state variables
- The package is called PyDiffGame and is detailed extensively in the article

#### PyDiffGame

git clone https://github.com/krichelj/PyDiffGame.git

# Thank you for listening!

This research was supported in part by:

- The Helmsley Charitable Trust through the Agricultural, Biological and Cognitive Robotics Initiative at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
- The Marcus Endowment Fund at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
- ► The Israeli Smart Transportation Research Center (ISTRC)



